arXiv:1205.1140v1 [math.GR] 5 May 2012

HOMOGENEOUS NUMBER OF FREE GENERATORS

MENNY AKA, TSACHIK GELANDER, AND GREGORY A. SOĬFER

Abstract. We address two questions of Simon Thomas. First, we show that for any $n \geq 3$ one can find a four generated free subgroup of $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ which is profinitely dense. More generally, we show that an arithmetic group Γ which admits the congruence subgroup property, has a profinitely dense free subgroup with an explicit bound of its rank. Next, we show that the set of profinitely dense, locally free subgroups of such an arithmetic group Γ is uncountable.

1. Introduction

Let G be a simply-connected semisimple algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with fixed embedding to GL_n. Let Γ be an arithmetic subgroup of $G(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, i.e., a group commensurable to $G(\mathbb{Z}) := G(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \cap GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Assume moreover that $G(\mathbb{R})$ is non-compact. The aim of this paper is to show the following:

Theorem 1. *Assume that G admits the congruence subgroup property (see §3.2), and let $d(\widehat{\Gamma})$ be the minimal number of generators of the profinite completion of Γ (see §3.1). Then, there exists a free subgroup $F \subset \Gamma$ on at most $2+d(\widehat{\Gamma})$ generators which is profinitely dense, i.e., maps onto any finite quotient of Γ.*

Let $\alpha(\Gamma)$ be the minimal rank of a profinitely dense free subgroup of Γ. That is, Theorem 1 claim that $\alpha(\Gamma) \leq d(\Gamma) + 2$. In [12] it is proved that Γ as above admits a profinitely dense free subgroup of finite rank. Consequently, Simon Thomas asked whether one can find a uniform bound on $\alpha(SL_n(\mathbb{Z})), n \geq 3$. It is known that $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by two elements for all $n \geq 2$ (see [14]) and that $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ (see §3.2) admits the Congruence Subgroup Property for $n \geq 3$. Thus Theorem 1 implies that for any $n \geq 3$ there exists a free profinitely dense subgroup of $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with rank ≤ 4. In particular, given a family $\{\Gamma_n\}$ for such arithmetic groups a uniform bound on $d(\Gamma_n)$ will provide a uniform bound on $\alpha(\Gamma_n)$. It is interesting whether $\alpha(SL_n(\mathbb{Z})) = 2$ for all n. We note that there are arithmetically defined families such that $\alpha(\Gamma_n)$ is not uniformly bounded. For example, let $\Delta_n := SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and for a rational prime p let $\Delta_n(p) := \{\gamma \in \Delta_n : \gamma \equiv I (mod\, p)\}$. It is shown in [4, Theorem 1.1] that the finite quotient $\Delta_n(p)/\Delta_n(p^2)$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$ of dimension $n^2 - 1$ so any profinitely dense and free subgroup has as least $n^2 - 1$ generators. That is $\alpha(\Delta_n(p)) \geq n^2 - 1$ and in particular not uniformly bounded.

We remark that although it is shown in [3] that the profinite completion $\widehat{\Gamma}$ of any non-virtually solvable subgroup Γ, has a free dense subgroup of rank $d(\Gamma)$, it is in general impossible to find a free group inside Γ. For example, Fuchcian groups are LERF [11](i.e. every finitely generated subgroup is the intersection of the finite-index subgroups that contain it), hence cannot admit a finitely generated profinitely dense proper subgroup.

We also address another question of Simon Thomas. Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be the set of locally free subgroups of Γ containing a profinitely dense finitely generated subgroup.

Theorem 2. *The set $\mathfrak{U}_m$ of maximal elements of $\mathfrak{U}$ is uncountable.*

In essence, these theorems can be proved using techniques and results of [6, 3]. The advantage of the following scheme of proof is in being elementary, using simple tools from ergodic theory.
Following Tits [13], in order to find free subgroups we use dynamics on projective spaces and we review relevant definitions and properties in §2. Tits' original result allows us to find a Zariski-dense free subgroup $\langle h_1, h_2\rangle$ of Γ of rank 2. Assuming the Congruence Subgroup Property, the closure of $\langle h_1, h_2\rangle$ in the profinite topology is of the form $\widehat{\Gamma'}$ for a finite-index subgroup $\Gamma' < \Gamma$, as we explain in §3. In order to find a profinitely dense free subgroup, we will find so-called "ping-pong" partners to h_1 and h_2 that will belong to specified cosets of Γ' in Γ. We will need to add at most $d(\widehat{\Gamma})$ elements in order to construct a profinitely dense free subgroup. This will be done in two steps. The first step, is to find elements in cosets with prescribed dynamics on the projective space. In this step we establish the main new technique of this paper; we use the mixing property of the action of G on the homogeneous space G/Γ. This is done in §4 where we also recall necessary notions from Ergodic Theory. The second step, is to use, with necessary modifications, the mechanism of rooted free system (which originates in [6]) in order to inductively add "ping-pong" partners with desirable properties. This is done in §5. Using all the above ingredients, we conclude the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 in §6.

2. DYNAMICS ON PROJECTIVE SPACES

2.1. **Proximal and Hyperbolic elements.** Let V be a vector space of dimension n over a local field K, $\mathbb{P}(V)$ the associated projective space and $v \mapsto [v]$ the associated projection map. The group $GL(V)$ acts naturally on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ by $g[v] = [gv]$. An element $g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is called *hyperbolic* if it is semisimple and admits a unique (counting multiplicities) eigenvalue of maximal absolute value and minimal absolute value. We denote by $\mathfrak{H}(G)$ the set of hyperbolic elements of G.

For $g \in \mathfrak{H}(G)$, let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of eigenvectors such that v_1 correspond to the unique maximal eigenvalue of g and v_n correspond to the unique minimal eigenvalue of g. Note that although g is not necessarily diagonalizable over K, $span(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ and $span(v_2, \dots, v_n)$ are defined over K. Indeed, this follows since there is a unique extension of the norm on K to any algebraic extension of K (See e.g. [7, Proposition 6.4]).

We denote the following subsets of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ by

$$A^+(g) = [v_1], A^-(g) = [v_n]$$

$$B^+(g) = [span(v_2, \dots, v_n)], B^-(g) = [span(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})]$$

and $A^{\pm}(g) = A^+(g) \cup A^-(g), B^{\pm}(g) = B^+(g) \cup B^-(g)$. Note that $A^{\pm}(h) \subset B^{\pm}(h)$.

For further use we record some basic properties:

$$hA^+(g) = A^+(hgh^{-1})$$

and likewise for A^-, B^+, B^-. Also

$$\forall g \in \mathfrak{H}(G) \quad A^{\pm}(g) = A^{\pm}(g^n), B^{\pm}(g) = B^{\pm}(g^n). \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\forall g \in \mathfrak{H}(G) \quad A^+(g^{-1}) = A^-(g) \tag{2.2}$$

2.2. **Distance on projective spaces.**

In order to study separation properties of projective transformations, we consider the following, so-called *standard metric* on $\mathbb{P}(V)$. For any $[v], [w] \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ let

$$d(a, b) = \frac{\|v \wedge w\|}{\|v\|\|w\|}.$$

See [2, Section 3] for the choices of the above norms and related properties. The reader should check that d is well-defined and that d induces the topology on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ that is inherited from the local field K. For any two sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ we set $d(A, B) = min\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$. We also need the following notion of distance between sets. For any two sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ we define the Hausdorff distance

$$d_h(A, B) = max\{\underset{a \in A}{sup}\,\underset{b \in B}{inf} d(a, b), \underset{b \in B}{sup}\,\underset{a \in A}{inf} d(a, b)\}$$

The only property of the Hausdorff distance that we are going to use is the following: Let $a \in \mathbb{P}(V), B, C \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$. Then, $d(a, C) \leq d(a, B) + d_h(B, C)$.

2.3. **Transversality.**

We call two elements $g, h \in \mathfrak{H}(G)$ *transversal,* and denote $g \perp h$, if $A^{\pm}(g) \subset \mathbb{P} \setminus B^{\pm}(h)$ and $A^{\pm}(h) \subset \mathbb{P} \setminus B^{\pm}(g)$. If moreover, $d(A^{\pm}(g), B^{\pm}(h)) > \epsilon$ and $d(A^{\pm}(h), B^{\pm}(g)) > \epsilon$ they are called *ϵ-transversal.* Clearly, any finite set of transversal elements are ϵ-transversal for some $\epsilon > 0$.

3. PROFINITE COMPLETIONS AND THE CONGRUENCE SUBGROUP PROPERTY

3.1. **Basic properties.** For background on profinite groups the reader can consult the book of Ribes and Zalesskii [10]. For completeness, we record here the definition of the profinite completion of a group. We write $A <_{fi} B$ to mean that A is a finite-index subgroup of B. Let Γ be a finitely generated group. The profinite topology on Γ is defined by taking as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of the identity the collection of all normal subgroups N of Γ such that Γ/N is finite. One can easily show that a subgroup H is open in Γ if and only if $H <_{fi} \Gamma$. We can complete Γ with respect to this topology to get

$$\widehat{\Gamma} := \varprojlim \{\Gamma/N : N \lhd_{fi} \Gamma\};$$

this is the profinite completion of Γ. There is a natural homomorphism $i : \Gamma \to \widehat{\Gamma}$ given by $i(\gamma) = \varprojlim(\gamma N)$. A subgroup $\Lambda < \Gamma$ is profinitely dense in Γ if and only if $i(\Lambda)$ is dense in $\widehat{\Gamma}$ which in turn is equivalent to the property that Λ is mapped onto every finite quotient of Γ. The minimal number of elements of $\widehat{\Gamma}$ needed to generate a dense subgroup of $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is denoted by $d(\widehat{\Gamma})$.

Lemma 3. *Let Γ be a residually finite group. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set $\mathcal{X}$ of all cosets of finite-index subgroup of Γ and the set $\mathcal{Y}$ of all cosets of open subgroups of $\widehat{\Gamma}$, given by*

$$X \mapsto cl(X) \quad (X \in \mathcal{X})$$

$$Y \mapsto Y \cap \Gamma \quad (Y \in \mathcal{Y})$$

where $cl(X)$ denotes the closure of X in $\widehat{\Gamma}$. Moreover, this correspondence maps normal subgroups to normal subgroups.

Proof. See [5, Window: profinite groups, Proposition 16.4.3] for a proof of a correspondence between finite-index subgroup of Γ and the set $\mathcal{Y}$ of all open subgroups of $\widehat{\Gamma}$. The natural generalization to cosets is immediate. □

3.2. **The congruence subgroup property.** We give here a brief survey; for proofs of the assertions below the reader can consult [9].

For arithmetic groups, which are by definition commensurable to groups of the form $G(\mathcal{O}_k)$ we can give another interesting topology which is weaker then the profinite topology. As stated in the beginning, G is a simply-connected semisimple algebraic group defined over a number field k and equipped with an implicit k-embedding into GL_n. For any non-zero ideal I of $\mathcal{O}_k$ let K_I be the kernel of the map

$$G(\mathcal{O}_k) \to G(\mathcal{O}_k/I). \tag{3.1}$$

The completion of $G(\mathcal{O}_k)$, with respect to the topology in which these kernels form a system of neighborhoods of the identity, is called the congruence completion. We denote this completion by $\overline{G(\mathcal{O}_k)}$. Under the assumption we made on G, the strong approximation Theorem holds for G. This means that the maps in 3.1 are surjective for all but finitely many ideals I (See [8, Theorem 7.12]). Using this, one can show that $\overline{G(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})}$ is naturally isomorphic to the open compact subgroup $\prod_{v \in V^f} G(\mathcal{O}_v)$ of $G(\mathbb{A}_{k,f})$ where V^f denotes the finite valuations of k and $\mathbb{A}_{k,f}$ denotes the ring of finite Adeles (See [8, section 1.2]). As the profinite topology is stronger, we have following the short exact sequence

$$1 \to C(G) \to \widehat{G(\mathcal{O}_k)} \to \overline{G(\mathcal{O}_k)} \to 1$$

and $C(G)$ is called the congruence kernel of G w.r.t. S. If $C(G)$ is finite, we say that G admits the congruence subgroup property.

Finally, if Γ is commensurable to $G(\mathcal{O}_k)$ then the completion of Γ w.r.t. the family $\{K_I \cap \Gamma\}_{I \lhd \mathcal{O}_k}$ is called the congruence completion of Γ and is denoted by $\overline{\Gamma}$. One has a similar short exact sequence

$$1 \to C(\Gamma) \to \widehat{\Gamma} \to \overline{\Gamma} \to 1.$$

and one say that Γ has the congruence subgroup property if $|C(\Gamma)| < \infty$.

Assuming Γ has the congruence subgroup property, the closure $\overline{\Lambda} < \widehat{\Gamma}$ of a subgroup $\Lambda < \Gamma$ has finite-index if and only if for all but finitely many $I \lhd \mathcal{O}_k$, Λ maps onto $\Gamma/(K_I \cap \Gamma)$. As stated above By [15, 8] every Zariski dense subgroup of $G(\bar{k})$ satisfy the last condition.

4. Elements in cosets with prescribed dynamics

In [13, Theorem 3], Tits construct a strongly irreducible representation (i.e. no finite union of proper subspaces is invariant) $\rho : G(k) \to GL_d(K)$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and some local field K. From now on, we identify G (also topologically) with its image under ρ. We let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(K^d)$ and for $p \in \mathbb{P}$ we write $gp := \rho(g)p$. We begin by several lemmata:

Lemma 4. *Let* $g_0 \in \mathfrak{H}(G)$, *and for* $j = +, -$ *set*

$$X_1^j(\epsilon) = \{g \in \mathfrak{H}(G) : d(A^j(g_0), A^j(g)) < \epsilon\},$$
$$X_2^j(\epsilon) = \{g \in \mathfrak{H}(G) : d_h(B^j(g_0), B^j(g)) < \epsilon\}.$$

Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ *such that for any* $i = 1, 2, j = +, -$ *and* $h \in X_i^j(\epsilon)$, *there exist a symmetric neighborhood of the identity* $W \subset G$ *and* $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that for all* $n > N$ *we have* $Wh^nW \subset X_i^j(\epsilon)$.

Proof. We start with i=1 and $j = +$. We first choose ϵ such that

$$\bigcup_{g \in X_1^+(\epsilon)} B^+(g)$$

and $B(A^+(g_0), \epsilon)$ (the ball of radius ϵ) are disjoint and denote $X_1^+ = X_1^+(\epsilon)$. Let $h \in X_1^+$ be an arbitrary element. We can choose $U \subset B(A^+(g_0), \epsilon)$ a neighborhood of $A^+(g_0)$, and W a neighborhood of the identity in G, such that $WU = \{wu : w \in W, u \in U\} \subset B(A^+(g_0), \epsilon)$ and $A^+(h) \in U'$. By choosing W even smaller we can also find $U' \subset U$ such that the following are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}
&A^+(h) \in U',\\
&WU' = \{wu : w \in W, u \in U'\} \subset U,\\
&WU \subset \mathbb{P} \setminus B^+(h),\\
&\forall n \quad Wh^nW \subset \mathfrak{H}(G).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $h^n(WU) \subset U'$ for all $n > N$ and it follows that $(Wh^nW)U \subset U$. Therefore, any element $\tilde{h} \in Wh^nW$ with $n > N$, has a fixed point inside U which is necessarily $A^+(\tilde{h})$. Thus, $A^+(\tilde{h}) \in U$ so by the choice of U we have $d(A^+(\tilde{h}), A^+(g_0)) < \epsilon$, which implies that $Wh^nW \subset X_1^+$ for all $n > N$. Using equation 2.2, a proof along the exact same lines shows the case $i = 1, j = -$.

For the case $i = 2$, one can use duality of hyperplanes and points in the projective space and proceed with the same proof as above. Alternatively, we can apply the same proof with the natural action on $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{d-1}K^d)$ where hyperplanes of the form $B^+(h)$ for some $h \in \mathfrak{H}(G)$ correspond to points. ☐

Lemma 5. *Let $g_1, \dots, g_n$ be hyperbolic elements of G. There exists $g \in \mathfrak{H}(G)$ with $g \perp g_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots n$.*

Proof. Let $U^+ := \{g \in G : gA^+(g_1) \notin B^\pm(g_j), \forall j = 1, \dots, n\}$; We claim that U^+ is a non-empty Zariski open set. Assume not, then $\cup_{i=1}^n B^\pm(g_i)$ will contain an invariant set which is a union of proper subspaces, which contradicts our assumption. Similarly, $U^- := \{g \in G : g^{-1}A^-(g_j) \notin B^\pm(g_1), \forall j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a non-empty Zariski open set. As G is Zariski connected, $U = U^+ \cap U^-$ is non-empty open set and for any $g \in U$ we have$A^\pm(gg_1g^{-1}) \notin B^\pm(g_j)$ for all $j = 1, \dots n$. Similar argument shows that there exists an open $V \subset G$ such that for any $g \in V$ we have $g^{-1}A^\pm(g_j) \notin B^\pm(g_1) \Leftrightarrow A^\pm(g_j) \notin B^\pm(gg_1g^{-1})$. Thus, any element of the form gg_1g^{-1} for some $g \in U \cap V$ is transversal to all g_j, as needed. ☐

The aim of this section is to find such an element g in a given coset of G/Λ where Λ is a lattice in G. To this end, we begin by "approximating" the transversal element we get from Lemma 5.

Lemma 6. *Given $\epsilon > 0$, assume that $\{g_1, \dots, g_s, g\}$ is a set of pairwise ϵ-transversal hyperbolic elements. There exist an integer $N = N(g, \epsilon)$ and a symmetric neighborhood $W = W(g, \epsilon)$ of the identity in G such that if $n > N$ then for any $h \in Wg^nW$, $\{g_1, \dots, g_s, h\}$ is a set of pairwise $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$-transversal hyperbolic elements.*

Proof. We first claim that any $h \in \mathfrak{H}(G)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
d(A^+(h), A^+(g)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad d(A^-(h), A^-(g)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}\\
d_h(B^+(h), B^+(g)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad d_h(B^-(h), B^-(g)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

is $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$- transversal to any element which is ϵ-transversal to g. Indeed, say g' is ϵ-transversal to g, then we have for example:

$$\epsilon < d(A^+(g), B^\pm(g')) \leq d(A^+(g), A^+(h)) + d(A^+(h), B^\pm(g'))$$
$$\epsilon < d(A^\pm(g'), B^+(h)) \leq d(A^+(g'), B^+(h)) + d_h(B^+(h), B^+(g))$$

so using 4.1 we see that g' and h are $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$-transversal.

It follows readily from Lemma 4 that there exists a symmetric neighborhood W of the identity of G and $N = N(g,\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for large enough $n > N$, any $h \in Wg^nW$ is hyperbolic and satisfy 4.1. ☐

Before proceeding to the main proposition of this section we recall some notions from Ergodic Theory. By the Borel Harish-Chandra Theorem (See [8, §4.6]), arithmetic subgroups are lattices. By definition, Λ is a lattice in G if Λ is discrete and G/Λ carry a finite G-invariant measure which we denote by μ. The action of G on G/Λ allows us to use techniques from Ergodic Theory. In particular, for semisimple groups G as in our case, we have the vanishing theorem of Howe-Moore (see [1, Chaper III] and the references therein). It states that for any $g \in G$ with the property that for any compact subset $K \subset G$ there exists M such that $g^n \notin K$ for all $n > M$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A \cap g^n B) \to \mu(A)\mu(B) \tag{4.2}$$

for any measurable sets $A, B \subset G/\Lambda$.

Proposition 7. *Let Λ be a lattice in G , $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ a set of pairwise transversal hyperbolic elements, and $x \in G$. Then there exists $h \in x\Lambda$ such that $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s, h\}$ is a set of pairwise transversal hyperbolic elements.*

Proof. Using Lemma 5 we find some $g \in \mathfrak{H}(G)$ such that $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s, g\}$ is a set of pairwise ϵ_0-transversal hyperbolic elements for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$. In order to finish the proof, we only need to find $h \in x\Lambda$ which also satisfy $h \in Wg^nW$ for some $n > N$ where $W = W(g,\epsilon_0), N = N(g,\epsilon_0)$ are supplied by Lemma 6. To this end, we consider the homogeneous space G/Λ equipped with the unique left invariant probability measure μ on G/Λ. As $g \in \mathfrak{H}(G)$, for any compact subset $K \subset G$ there exists M such that $g^n \notin K$ for all $n > M$. Therefore, by the Howe-Moore Theorem stated above, we have that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(g^nW\Lambda \cap Wx\Lambda) = \mu(W\Lambda)\mu(Wx\Lambda) > 0$$

so for large enough n, and in particular for some $n > N$, $g^nW\Lambda \cap Wx\Lambda \neq \emptyset$. This yields some $w_1, w_2 \in W, \gamma \in \Lambda$ with $g^n w_1 = w_2 x\gamma$ so $h := x\gamma = w_2^{-1} g^n w_1$, as desired. ☐

5. Free g_0-rooted systems

Definition 8. Given $g_o \in \mathfrak{H}(G)$, a tuple $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^s \subset \mathfrak{H}(G)$ is called a g_0-rooted free system if there exist open sets $\{X_i\}_{i=0}^s$ of $\mathbb{P}$ satisfying:

(1) $\{X_i\}_{i=0}^s$ are pairwise disjoint,

(2) $A^{\pm}(g_i) \subseteq X_i \subset \overline{X_i} \subset \mathbb{P} \setminus B^{\pm}(g_0)$ for all $i = 0, \dots. s$,
(3) $A^{\pm}(g_0) \subseteq X_0 \subset \overline{X_0} \subset \mathbb{P} \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{s} B^{\pm}(g_i)$,
(4) $g_i(\overline{X_j}) \subset X_i$ for any $i, j \in \{0, \dots, s\}$.

Note that by the so-called ping-pong Lemma [13, Proposition 1.1], the elements $g_0, \dots, g_s$ are independent. $(\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{s}, g_0)$ is clearly free. The following lemma exemplify how one can use g_0-rooted systems for enlarge a given independent set of elements.

Lemma 9. *Assume we are given a g_0-rooted free system $\{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$, a subset $F \subset G$ and and element $h \in F \cap \mathfrak{H}(G)$ such that*

- *the set $M_1 = \{n : g_0^n h g_0^{-n} \in F\}$ is infinite,*
- *the sets $M_{2,l} = \{n : g_0^l h^n g_0^{-l} \in F\}$ are infinite whenever $l \in M_1$,*
- *$h \perp g_i$ for $i = 0, \dots s$.*

Then, there exist $k, n_0, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_{s+1} := g_0^{n_0} h^{n_1} g_0^{-n_0} \in F$ and $\{g_1, \dots, g_s, g_{s+1}\}$ is a g_0^k-rooted free system.

Proof. Let $\{X_i\}_{i=0}^{s}$ be the sets showing that $\{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ is a g_0-rooted free system and let $h_n := g_0^n h g_0^{-n}$. As $g_0 \perp h$, there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n > N_1$, the following holds:

$$A^{\pm}(h_n) = g_0^n(A^{\pm}(h)) \subset X_0 \tag{5.1}$$

and for any $i = 1, \dots, s$ we have $g_0^{-n}\overline{X_i} \subset \mathbb{P} \setminus B^{\pm}(h)$ which is equivalent to

$$\overline{X_i} \subset \mathbb{P} \setminus g_0^n B^{\pm}(h) = \mathbb{P} \setminus B^{\pm}(h_n). \tag{5.2}$$

This show that $\{g_1, \dots, g_s, h_n\}$ are pairwise transversal for all $n > N_1$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that g_0 and h_n are transversal for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By assumption, there are arbitrarily large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $h_n \in F$ so we can choose $n_0 > N_1$ such that $h_{n_0} \in F$.

We now define open subsets

$$\{Y_i\}_{i=0}^{s+1} \tag{5.3}$$

that will show that for some n_1, $\{g_1, \dots, g_s, h_{n_0}^{n_1}\}$ is g_0^k-rooted system (n_1 and k will be defined momentarily). Let $Y_i = X_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ and Y_{s+1} be an open subset of $\mathbb{P}$ such that $Y_{s+1} \subset \overline{X_0}$ and

$$A^{\pm}(h_{n_0}) \subset Y_{s+1} \subset \overline{Y_{s+1}} \subset \mathbb{P} \setminus B^{\pm}(g_0). \tag{5.4}$$

Furthermore, we let Y_0 be an open subset of $\mathbb{P}$ such that

$$A^{\pm}(g_0) \subset Y_0 \subset \overline{Y_0} \subset X_0 \text{ and } \overline{Y_0} \subset \mathbb{P} \setminus B^{\pm}(h_{n_0}). \tag{5.5}$$

Such sets exist since we've seen that $\{g_0, \dots, g_s, h_{n_0}\}$ are pairwise transversal; this also imply that there exists N_2 such that for any integer n with $|n| > N_2$ we have that

$$h_{n_0}^n(Y_i) \subset Y_{s+1} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, s. \tag{5.6}$$

Finally, by assumption , the set

$$M := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : h_{n_0}^n \in F\} \tag{5.7}$$

is infinite. Let $g_{s+1} = h_{n_0}^{n_1}$ for some $n_1 \in M$ with $n_1 > N_2$. The above transversality also imply that there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$g_0^k(\cup_{i=0}^{s+1} Y_i) \subset Y_0. \tag{5.8}$$

We end the proof by showing that $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s, g_{s+1}\}$ is g_0^k-rooted system, using the sets $\{Y_i\}_{i=0}^{s+1}$. Condition (1) is satisfied by the choice of the sets $\{Y_i\}_{i=0}^{s+1}$. Using equation 2.1, we see that equation 5.4 and the fact that $X_i = Y_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$ imply the Condition (2). Similarly, equation 5.5 imply Condition (3). Lastly, equation 5.6 imply condition (4) for i=s+1 and equation 5.8 does it for i=0. □

The following two propositions are the main ingredients in the proofs of our main Theorems:

Proposition 10. *Let $\Gamma_1 \lhd_{fi} \Gamma$, $x \in \Gamma$ and $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ be a g_0-rooted free system. Then, there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a hyperbolic element $g_{s+1} \in x\Gamma_1$ such that $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s, g_{s+1}\}$ is a g_0^k-rooted free system.*

Proof. By Proposition 7 we can find hyperbolic $h \in x\Gamma_1$ such that $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s, h\}$ are pairwise transversal. Moreover, as $g_0 \in \Gamma$ and $\Gamma_1 \lhd_{fi} \Gamma$, the set $M_1 = \{n : g_0^n h g_0^{-n} \in x\Gamma_1\}$ is infinite and so are the sets $M_{2,l} = \{n : g_0^l h^n g_0^{-l} \in x\Gamma_1\}$ whenever $l \in M_1$. Thus, applying Lemma 9 with $F = x\Gamma_1$ we find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a hyperbolic element $g_{s+1} \in x\Gamma_1$ such that $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s, g_{s+1}\}$ is a g_0^k-rooted free system, as claimed. □

Proposition 11. *Let $H < \Gamma$, be a profinitely dense subgroup and $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ be a g_0-rooted free system, with $\{g_i\}_{i=0}^s \subset \Gamma$. Then, there exists a hyperbolic element $g_{s+1} \notin H$ such that $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s, g_{s+1}\}$ is a $\tilde{g_0}$-rooted free system for some $\tilde{g_0} \in \mathfrak{H}(G) \cap \Gamma$ (typically $\tilde{g_0} = g_0^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$).*

Proof. Consider first the case when $g_0 \in H$. By Proposition 3 of [6] we know that H is Zariski dense since it is profinitely dense. It follows from [6, Lemma 8] that there exists $\tilde{h} \in \mathfrak{H}(G)$ with $\tilde{h} \in \Gamma \setminus H$. Now,

$$W = \{w \in G : \forall i \in \{0, \ldots s\}, (w\tilde{h}w^{-1}) \perp g_i\}$$

is Zariski open; indeed,

$$W = \cap_{i=1}^s \{w : (wA^{\pm}(\tilde{h})) \cap B^{\pm}(g_i) = \emptyset\} \bigcap \cap_{i=1}^s \{w : B^{\pm}(\tilde{h}) \cap w^{-1}A^{\pm}(g_i) = \emptyset\}$$

and each on of the sets in the intersection is clearly a Zariski open set. As H is Zariski dense, we can find some element $w \in H \cap W$. Let $h = w\tilde{h}w^{-1}$. Clearly, $h \in \mathfrak{H}(G)$, $h \in \Gamma \setminus H$ and the elements of $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s, h\}$ are pairwise transversal. Moreover, as $g_0 \in H$, the set $M_1 = \{n : g_0^n h g_0^{-n} \in \Gamma \setminus H\} = \mathbb{N}$ and the sets $M_{2,l} = \{n : g_0^l h^n g_0^{-l} \in \Gamma \setminus H\}$ are infinite whenever $l \in M_1$. Thus, applying Lemma 9 with $F = \Gamma \setminus H$ we find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a hyperbolic element $g_{s+1} \in \Gamma \setminus H$ such that $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s, g_{s+1}\}$ is a g_0^k-rooted free system, which conclude the case when $g_0 \in H$.

Now assume $g_0 \notin H$. Let $\{X_i\}_{i=0}^s$ be the sets showing that $\{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ is a g_0-rooted free system and set $d = min_{1 \le i \le s} d(B^{\pm}(g_0, \overline{X_i}))$. Let

$$U_1 = \{g \in G : g \in \mathfrak{H}(G), g \perp g_0\},$$

$$U_2 = \{g \in G : A^{\pm}(g) \subset X_0, d_h(B^{\pm}(g_0), B^{\pm}(g)) < \frac{d}{4}\}$$

and $U = U_1 \cap U_2$. We now consider two possibilities: if $U \cap H \neq \emptyset$ it is easy to see that there exist $h_0 \in U \cap H$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ is a h_0^k-rooted free system. Thus, this possibility reduces to the first case with g_0 interchanged with $h_0^k \in H$.

Therefore we assume that $U \cap H = \emptyset$. Note that by Lemma 4 for any $g \in U$ there exist W and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W g^n W \subset U$ for all $n > N$. As $g \in \mathfrak{H}(G)$, for any compact subset $K \subset G$ there exists M such that $g^n \notin K$ for all $n > M$. Therefore, by the Howe-Moore Theorem stated above, we have that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(g^n W\Gamma \cap W\Gamma) = \mu(W\Gamma)\mu(W\Gamma) > 0$$

so for large enough n, and in particular for some $n > N_0$, $g^n W\Gamma \cap W\Gamma \neq \emptyset$. This yields some $w_1, w_2 \in W, \gamma \in \Gamma$ with $g^n w_1 = w_2 \gamma$ so $h := \gamma = w_2^{-1} g^n w_1 \in \Gamma \cap U$ as desired. By the definition of U, the elements of $\{g_1, \dots, g_s, h\}$ are pairwise transversal, and all of them are transversal to g_0. To show that they form a g_0-rooted free system, we can follows the proof of Lemma 9 from equation 5.3 onwards, interchanging h_{n_0} with h everywhere, and noting that 5.7 is also true in our situation as the set

$$M = \{n : h^n \notin H\}$$

is infinite since $h \notin H$ by the assumption that $U \cap H = \emptyset$. □

6. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1 AND 2

Proof of Theorem 1: We first claim that there exist $h_1, h_2, g_0 \in \Gamma$ such that $\{h_1, h_2\}$ is g_0-rooted free system and $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle$ is Zariski dense in G. Indeed, by [13, Theorem 3] we can find $f_1, f_2 \in \Gamma$ such that $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ is free and Zariski dense in G. By connectedness of G, the same is true for f_1^k, f_2^k for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, by Proposition 7 we can find $f_0 \in \Gamma$ such that $\{f_0, f_1, f_2\}$ are pairwise transversal. Therefore, there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{f_1^k, f_2^k\}$ are f_0^k-free rooted system. Thus the claim is proved by letting $g_0 := f_0^k, h_1 := f_1^k, h_2 := f_2^k$.

As explain at the end of section 3.2, since Γ admits that Congruence Subgroup Property and $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle$ is Zariski dense, the closure of $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle$ is of finite-index in $\widehat{\Gamma}$. By Lemma 3 there exists $\Gamma_1 \lhd_{fi} \Gamma$ such that $\widehat{\Gamma_1} \subset \overline{\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle}$. Let $y_1, \dots, y_m$ be elements of $\widehat{\Gamma}$ which generate of $\widehat{\Gamma}/\widehat{\Gamma_1}$ with $m \le d(\widehat{\Gamma})$. By Lemma 3, there exist $x_1, \dots, x_m$ with $x_i \Gamma_1 = y_i \widehat{\Gamma_1} \cap \Gamma$. Then, using Proposition 10 inductively, we find $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^m \in \Gamma$ such that $g_i \in x_i \Gamma_2$ and $\{h_1, h_2, g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ is a g_0^k-rooted free system for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore the image of Γ_1 in $\widehat{\Gamma}$ together with $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ generate $\widehat{\Gamma}$ (topologically). This shows that that $\langle h_1, h_2, g_1, \ldots, g_m \rangle$ is profinitely dense and is free of rank $m+2$, as asserted. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume, by a way of contradiction, that $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is countable and let $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be some enumeration of it. Let $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an enumeration of all the cosets of finite-index subgroups of Γ. Let $g_0 \in \Gamma$ be some hyperbolic element. Using Proposition 10 (with the empty g_0-rooted free system) we can find $g_1 \in F_1$ and $g_{0,1} \in \mathfrak{H}(G) \cap \Gamma$ such that $\{g_1\}$ is a $g_{0,1}$-rooted free system. Similarly, using Proposition 11, we can find g_2 and $g_{0,2} \in \mathfrak{H}(G) \cap \Gamma$ such that $g_2 \notin U_1$ and $\{g_1, g_2\}$ is a $g_{0,2}$-rooted free system. Continuing in this fashion and using Propositions 10 and 11 alternately, we find a sequences $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \{g_{0,i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathfrak{H}(G) \cap \Gamma$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $g_{2k} \notin U_k$, $g_{2k-1} \in F_k$ and for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{g_1, \ldots, g_l\}$ is $g_{0,l}$-rooted free system. Let $H = \langle \{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \rangle$; we claim that H is free and profinitely dense. Indeed, H is freely generated by $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ since any finite subset of $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is contained in some free rooted system. It is profinitely dense as otherwise H would be contained in a finite-index subgroup Λ, which is impossible as H has elements in each coset of Λ in Γ. Therefore $H \subseteq U_i$ for some i. This is a contradiction as $g_{2i} \notin U_i$. □

Acknowledgments. We acknowledge the support of the ERC grant 226135, the ERC Grant 203418, the ISEF foundation, the Ilan and Asaf Ramon memorial foundation, the "Hoffman Leadership and Responsibility" fellowship program, the ISF grant 1003/11 and the BSF grant 2010295.

References

[1] M. Bachir Bekka and Matthias Mayer. *Ergodic theory and topological dynamics of group actions on homogeneous spaces*, volume 269 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[2] E. Breuillard and T. Gelander. On dense free subgroups of Lie groups. *J. Algebra*, 261(2):448–467, 2003.

[3] E. Breuillard and T. Gelander. A topological Tits alternative. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 166(2):427–474, 2007.

[4] Ronnie Lee and R. H. Szczarba. On the homology and cohomology of congruence subgroups. *Invent. Math.*, 33(1):15–53, 1976.

[5] Alexander Lubotzky and Dan Segal. *Subgroup growth*, volume 212 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2003.

[6] G. A. Margulis and G. A. Soĭfer. Maximal subgroups of infinite index in finitely generated linear groups. *J. Algebra*, 69(1):1–23, 1981.

[7] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic number theory*, volume 322 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder.

[8] Vladimir Platonov and Andrei Rapinchuk. *Algebraic groups and number theory*, volume 139 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1994. Translated from the 1991 Russian original by Rachel Rowen.

[9] G. Prasad and A. S. Rapinchuk. Developments on the congruence subgroup problem after the work of Bass, Milnor and Serre. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2008.

[10] Luis Ribes and Pavel Zalesskii. *Profinite groups*, volume 40 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*

[Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2010.

[11] Peter Scott. Subgroups of surface groups are almost geometric. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 17(3):555–565, 1978.

[12] G. A. Soifer and T. N. Venkataramana. Finitely generated profinitely dense free groups in higher rank semi-simple groups. *Transform. Groups*, 5(1):93–100, 2000.

[13] J. Tits. Free subgroups in linear groups. *J. Algebra*, 20:250–270, 1972.

[14] Stanton M. Trott. A pair of generators for the unimodular group. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 5:245–252, 1962.

[15] Boris Weisfeiler. Strong approximation for Zariski-dense subgroups of semisimple algebraic groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 120(2):271–315, 1984.